

## A Note on the Barut Second-Order Equation

Valeriy V. Dvoeglazov

UAF, Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas, Apartado Postal 636, Zacatecas 98061 Zac., México  
E-mail: valeri@fisica.uaz.edu.mx

The second-order equation in the  $(1/2, 0) \oplus (0, 1/2)$  representation of the Lorentz group has been proposed by A. Barut in the 70s [1]. It permits to explain the mass splitting of leptons ( $e, \mu, \tau$ ). The interest is growing in this model (see, for instance, the papers by S. Kruglov [2] and J. P. Vigié *et al.* [3, 4]). We note some additional points of this model.

The Barut main equation is

$$\left[ i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + \alpha_2 \partial^\mu \partial_\mu - \kappa \right] \Psi = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha_2$  and  $\kappa$  are the constants later related to the anomalous magnetic moment and mass, respectively. The matrices  $\gamma^\mu$  are defined by the anticommutation relation:

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

$g^{\mu\nu}$  is the metrics of the Minkowski space,  $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . The equation represents a theory with the conserved current that is linear in 15 generators of the 4-dimensional representation of the  $O(4, 2)$  group,  $N_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_a \gamma_b$ ,  $\gamma_a = \{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_5, i\}$ . Instead of 4 solutions, (1) has 8 solutions with the correct relativistic relation  $E = \pm \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_i^2}$ . In fact, it describes states of different masses (the second one is  $m_2 = 1/\alpha_2 - m_1 = m_e(1 + 3/2\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha$  is the fine structure constant), provided that the certain physical condition is imposed on  $\alpha_2 = (1/m_1)(2\alpha/3)/(1 + 4\alpha/3)$ , the parameter (the anomalous magnetic moment should be equal to  $4\alpha/3$ ). One can also generalize the formalism to include the third state, the  $\tau$ -lepton [1b]. Barut has indicated the possibility of including  $\gamma_5$  terms (e.g.  $\sim \gamma_5 \kappa'$ ).

The most general form of spinor relations in the  $(1/2, 0) \oplus (0, 1/2)$  representation has been given by Dvoeglazov [5]. It was possible to derive the Barut equation from first principles [6]. Let us reveal the connections with other models. For instance, in [3, 7] the following equation has been studied:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ (i\hat{\partial} - e\hat{A})(i\hat{\partial} - e\hat{A}) - m^2 \right] \Psi = \\ & \left[ (i\partial_\mu - eA_\mu)(i\partial^\mu - eA^\mu) - \frac{1}{2} e\sigma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - m^2 \right] \Psi = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for the 4-component spinor  $\Psi$ .  $\hat{A} = \gamma^\mu A_\mu$ ;  $A_\mu$  is the 4-vector potential;  $e$  is electric charge;  $F_{\mu\nu}$  is the electromagnetic tensor.  $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$ . This is the Feynman-Gell-Mann equation. In the free case we have the Lagrangian (see Eq. (9) of [3c]):

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \overline{(i\hat{\partial}\Psi)}(i\hat{\partial}\Psi) - m^2 \overline{\Psi}\Psi. \quad (4)$$

Let us re-write (1) into the form:\*

$$\left[ i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + a\partial^\mu \partial_\mu + b \right] \Psi = 0. \quad (5)$$

\*Of course, one could admit  $p^4, p^6$  etc. in the Dirac equation too. The dispersion relations will be more complicated [6].

So, one should calculate ( $p^2 = p_0^2 - \mathbf{p}^2$ )

$$\text{Det} \begin{pmatrix} b - ap^2 & p_0 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \\ p_0 - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} & b - ap^2 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (6)$$

in order to find energy-momentum-mass relations. Thus,  $[(b - ap^2)^2 - p^2]^2 = 0$  and if  $a = 0$ ,  $b = \pm m$  we come to the well-known relation  $p^2 = p_0^2 - \mathbf{p}^2 = m^2$  with four Dirac solutions. However, in the general case  $a \neq 0$  we have

$$p^2 = \frac{(2ab + 1) \pm \sqrt{4ab + 1}}{2a^2} > 0, \quad (7)$$

that signifies that we do not have tachyons. However, the above result implies that we cannot just put  $a = 0$  in the solutions, while it was formally possible in (5). When  $a \rightarrow 0$  then  $p^2 \rightarrow \infty$ ; when  $a \rightarrow \pm\infty$  then  $p^2 \rightarrow 0$ . It should be stressed that *the limit in the equation does not always coincide with the limit in the solutions*. So, the questions arise when we consider limits, such as Dirac  $\rightarrow$  Weyl, and Proca  $\rightarrow$  Maxwell. The similar method has also been presented by S. Kruglov for bosons [8]. Other fact should be mentioned: when  $4ab = -1$  we have only the solutions with  $p^2 = 4b^2$ . For instance,  $b = m/2$ ,  $a = -1/2m$ ,  $p^2 = m^2$ . Next, I just want to mention one Barut omission. While we can write

$$\frac{\sqrt{4ab + 1}}{a^2} = m_2^2 - m_1^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2ab + 1}{a^2} = m_2^2 + m_1^2, \quad (8)$$

but  $m_2$  and  $m_1$  should not necessarily be associated with  $m_{\mu, e}$  (or  $m_{\tau, \mu}$ ). They may be associated with their superpositions, and applied to neutrino mixing, or quark mixing.

The lepton mass splitting has also been studied by Markov [9] on using the concept of both positive and negative masses in the Dirac equation. Next, obviously we can calculate anomalous magnetic moments in this scheme (on using, for instance, methods of [10, 11]).

We previously noted:

- The Barut equation is a sum of the Dirac equation and the Feynman-Gell-Mann equation.
- Recently, it was suggested to associate an analogue of (4) with dark matter, provided that  $\Psi$  is composed of

<sup>†</sup> $a$  has dimensionality [1/m],  $b$  has dimensionality [m].

the self/anti-self charge conjugate spinors, and it has the dimension [energy]<sup>1</sup> in the unit system  $c = \hbar = 1$ . The interaction Lagrangian is  $\mathcal{L}^H \sim g\bar{\Psi}\Psi\phi^2$ ,  $\phi$  is a scalar field.

- The term  $\sim \bar{\Psi}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Psi F_{\mu\nu}$  will affect the photon propagation, and non-local terms will appear in higher orders.
- However, it was shown in [3b,c] that a) the Mott cross-section formula (which represents the Coulomb scattering up to the order  $\sim e^2$ ) is still valid; b) the hydrogen spectrum is not much disturbed; if the electromagnetic field is weak the corrections are small.
- The solutions are the eigenstates of the  $\gamma^5$  operator.
- In general, the current  $J_0$  is not the positive-defined quantity, since the general solution  $\Psi = c_1\Psi_+ + c_2\Psi_-$ , where  $[i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu \pm m]\Psi_\pm = 0$ , see also [9].
- We obtained the Barut-like equations of the 2nd order and 3rd order in derivatives.
- We obtained dynamical invariants for the free Barut field on the classical and quantum level.
- We found relations with other models (such as the Feynman-Gell-Mann equation).
- As a result of analysis of dynamical invariants, we can state that at the free level, the term  $\sim \partial_\mu\bar{\Psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\Psi$  in the Lagrangian does not contribute.
- However, the interaction terms  $\sim \bar{\Psi}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\Psi A_\mu$  will contribute when we construct the Feynman diagrams and the  $S$ -matrix. In the curved space (the 4-momentum Lobachevsky space), the influence of such terms has been investigated in the Skachkov work [10,11]. Briefly, the contribution will be such as if the 4-potential were to interact with some “renormalized” spin. Perhaps, this explains why Barut used the classical anomalous magnetic moment  $g \sim 4\alpha/3$  instead of  $\alpha/2\pi$ .

### Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges discussions with participants of recent conferences.

Received on November 17, 2020

### References

1. Barut A. O. *Phys. Lett.*, 1978, v. B73, 310; *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1979, v. 42, 1251; Wilson R. *Nucl. Phys.*, 1974, v. B68, 157.
2. Kruglov S. I. *Ann. Fond. Broglie*, 2004, v. 29 (H2), 1005. In: Dvoeglazov V. V. et al., eds. The special issue dedicated to Yang and Mills. arXiv: quant-ph/0408056.
3. Petroni N. C., Vigier J. P. et al. *Nuovo Cim.*, 1984, v. B81, 243; *Phys. Rev.*, 1984, v. D30, 495; *ibid.*, 1985, v. D31, 3157.
4. Dvoeglazov V. V. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, 2005, v. 24, 236–240; *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras*, 2008, v. 18, 579–585.
5. Dvoeglazov V. V. *Hadronic J. Suppl.*, 1995, v. 10, 349; *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, 1998, v. 37, 1909.
6. Dvoeglazov V. V. *Ann. Fond. Broglie*, 2000, v. 25, 81–92.
7. Feynman R. and Gell-Mann M. *Phys. Rev.*, 1958, v. 109, 193.
8. Kruglov S. I. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, 2001, v. A16, 4925–4938. arXiv: hep-th/0110083.
9. Markov M. *ZhETF*, 1937, v. 7, 579; *ibid.*, 1937, v. 7, 603; *Nucl. Phys.*, 1964, v. 55, 130.
10. Skachkov N. B. *Theor. Math. Phys.*, 1975, v. 22, 149; *ibid.*, 1976, v. 25, 1154.
11. Dvoeglazov V. V. and Skachkov N. B. *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.*, 1988, v. 48, 1065.